

**From:** I Y  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/28/02 12:32pm  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

Dear Judge Kollar-Kotally,

I am opposed to such a preposterous solution in regards to the Proposed Final Judgment in the Microsoft case. Based on past findings the Court of Appeals has found Microsoft guilty of violating all rules of the anti trust laws.

Yet the PFJ (Proposed Final Judgment), the Department of Justice throws out these findings, indicting Microsoft on all charges of business wrongdoing. More importantly, the PFJ allows Microsoft to continue with its monopolistic practices. I strongly believe you will receive similar appeals entailing the numerous errors apparent in the final settlement.

To make a long story short, the PFJ does not effectively break up Microsoft. But in fact, permits Microsoft to leverage its current monopoly position and expand its business into several other technologies markets. In the past most monopolies were either broken up or carefully regulated. Why not Microsoft?

Severe reprimands by the DoJ do not drastically alter Microsofts existing operation methodologies. Time and time again as history will show, Microsoft will abuse its monopoly position. Breaking up Microsofts business into several parts just might be the best antidote to prevent MS from even doing more damage to the industry. Therefore I submit to you that the Proposed Final Judgment does not solve the Microsoft issue.

Respectfully,

Dr. Joseph Ortiz  
1001 Vine Street  
Paso Robles, CA 93446

---

Do You Yahoo!?  
Great stuff seeking new owners in Yahoo! Auctions!

<http://auctions.yahoo.com>

**CC:**           microsoftcomments@doj.ca.gov@inetgw